2009 Salt Lake Countywide Water Quality Stewardship Plan

ADDENDUM STREAM FUNCTION INDEX South Jordan City Report





WATER QUALITY STEWARDSHIP PLAN



2009 SALT LAKE COUNTYWIDE WATER QUALITY STEWARDSHIP PLAN

ADDENDUM STREAM FUNCTION INDEX REPORT

South Jordan City Report

PREPARED BY:

Flood Control and Water Quality Division Salt Lake County 2001 South State Street Suite N3100 Salt Lake City, UT 84190

April 2010

Printed on 10% Recycled Paper Elemental Chlorine-Free (ECF) FSC Certified (mixed sources)





WATER QUALITY STEWARDSHIP PLAN This page intentionally blank.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Components of the Stream Function Index (SFI) and Ecosystem Health Index (EHI)	1
1.2	Data Collection	1
2.0	South Jordan City—Information	3
2.1	Water Quality Stressors in South Jordan City	3
3.0	Stream Function Index (SFI)	4
4.0	Watershed Function Groups	4
4.1	Water Quality Functional Group Score	4
4.2	Habitat Functional Group Score	6
	4.2.1 Pool/Riffle Ratio in South Jordan City	7
	4.2.2 Water Depth in South Jordan City	8
	4.2.3 Fish Passage in South Jordan City	9
	4.2.4 Habitat Structure in South Jordan City	. 10
	4.2.5 Flow Diversion in South Jordan City	. 11
	4.2.6 Riparian Width in South Jordan City	. 12
	4.2.7 Riparian Density in South Jordan City	. 13
4.3	Hydraulics Functional Group Score	.14
	4.3.1 Floodplain Development in South Jordan City	.15
	4.3.2 Floodplain Connectivity in South Jordan City	.16
	4.3.3 Hydraulic Alteration in South Jordan City	. 17
	4.3.4 Bank Stability in South Jordan City	. 18
4.4	Social Functional Group Score	. 19
	Example of the shift has been (EUII). On with the share Office	~~
5.0	Ecosystem Health Index (EHI) - South Jordan City	20
6.0	Stream Function Index (SFI) - South Jordan City	. 21
70	Implementation	າາ
7.0	Site Identification	22
7.1	Dia Nevelenment	22
1.2	Fian Development Fundina	22
7.3 7 /	Plan Implementation	22
7.4 7.5	Fian implementation	20
1.0		

LIST OF FIGURES

1	Water Quality Functional Group Scores Countywide	. 5
2	Habitat Functional Group Scores Countywide	. 6
3	Habitat Function—Pool/Riffle Ratio in South Jordan City	. 7
4	Habitat Function—Water Depth in South Jordan City	. 8
5	Habitat Function—Fish Passage in South Jordan City	. 9
6	Habitat Function—Habitat Structures in South Jordan City	10
7	Habitat Function—Flow Diversion in South Jordan City	11
8	Habitat Function—Riparian Width in South Jordan City	12
9	Habitat Function—Riparian Density in South Jordan City	13





10	Hydraulics Functional Group Scores Countywide	. 14
11	Hydraulics Function—Floodplain Development in South Jordan City	. 15
12	Hydraulics Function—Floodplain Connectivity in South Jordan City	. 16
13	Hydraulics Function—Hydraulic Alteration in South Jordan City	. 17
14	Hydraulics Function—Bank Stability in South Jordan City	. 18
15	Social Functional Group Scores Countywide	. 19
16	Ecosystem Health Index (EHI) Final Score 2009	. 20
17	Stream Function Index (SFI) Final Score 2009	. 21
18	Diagram of Emergent Bench Design	. 22

LIST OF TABLES

1	Stream Function Index Metrics Flow Chart	2
2	South Jordan City Watershed Areas and Stream Lengths	3
3	Grants for Stream and river Restoration Projects	24



1.0 INTRODUCTION

Armed with the widely supported 2009 Salt Lake Countywide Water Quality Stewardship Plan (WaQSP), regulatory and municipal authorities in Salt Lake County seek to work collaboratively to monitor and improve watershed and stream health. After examining the current conditions, numerous water quality and watershed improvement recommendations were made in the 2009 WaQSP. However, written recommendations and well laid plans are only as good as the implementation efforts that result. With the completion of the WaQSP, Salt Lake County and its partners now enter the most challenging and rewarding phase of watershed managementimplementation. A key challenge in the implementation phase is to measure the success and/or failure of implementation efforts. Therefore, to inform future planning decisions, and to assure successful, iterative, planning and а implementation process, Salt Lake County developed a monitoring tool for the WaQSP known as the Stream Function Index (SFI). The SFI was developed in 2006 with the assistance of several environmental consulting firms. The primary consultant on this effort was Cirrus Ecological, based in Logan, UT.

It is anticipated that SFI data will be collected along with each update of the WaQSP that will occur every six years. It is also anticipated that reports, such as this one, will be written for each municipal government at that same frequency. Successful implementation of WaQSP recommendations should lead to improved SFI scores. However, if BMPs do not lead to improved SFI scores, they will be re-examined for effectiveness in the local environment.



Midas Creek in South Jordan.

1.1 COMPONENTS OF THE STREAM FUNCTION INDEX (SFI) AND ECOSYSTEM HEALTH INDEX (EHI)

Streams and rivers, although single components of the larger watershed, may serve as indicators of overall watershed health. To maximize resources and time, Salt Lake County decided to focus on monitoring stream and river corridors to indicate overall watershed function. However, a broader examination of watershed function may be accomplished in the future with increased funds and staff. For the purposes of this document, data collected in stream and river corridors are used to indicate watershed function.

To monitor stream and river health, the SFI measures physical, chemical, biological, and social functions of stream and river corridors in Salt Lake County. The four watershed functions that are examined in the SFI include: habitat (aquatic and terrestrial), hydraulics (flood conveyance and stream stability), water quality and social (recreation and aesthetics). Metrics used to determine scores for each of the four watershed functions are included in Table 1. Recreation and aesthetics monitoring is included in the SFI to indicate the degree to which stream and river corridors provide appropriate, or resource compatible, recreation and aesthetic opportunities. However, recreational facilities may, if incompatible with the resource, detrimentally effect stream ecology.

In order to examine ecological health independent of social function, Salt Lake County created an Ecological Health Index (EHI). The EHI is a subcomponent of the SFI that includes habitat, hydraulics, and water quality evaluations. The EHI may be compared with the SFI to determine possible effects of social (i.e. recreational and aesthetic) functions on stream ecology.

See the "Stream Function Index Main Report" Appendices for the complete SFI Methodology Report.

1.2 DATA COLLECTION

The majority of 2009 SFI numbers were based on data gathered between 2007 and 2008. However, water quality data spans a greater time period (2001 to 2008). In future SFI updates, it is anticipated that water quality data collected between updates will be used to assess stream





Salt Lake County—Stream Function Index (SFI)

South Jordan City

Metric	Sub-Group	Functional Group	Ecosystem Health Index	Stream Function Index
Pool/Riffle ratio				
Water Depth				
Fish Passage	Stream Channel			
Habitat Structures		Habitat		
Flow Diversion				
Riparian Width	Piparian Corridor			
Riparian Density	Ripanan Conidor			
Floodplain Development	Flood Conveyance			
Floodplain Connectivity	15/	Hydraulics	LI	
Bank Stability	Stream Stability		EFII	
Hydraulic Alteration				
303(d) list	Regulatory			~~~~
Macroinvertebrate	Aquatic			SFI
Total P		1929 D. 191		
Temperature		Water Quality		
TDS	Monitoring			
DO	-1989s			
E. coli		-		
D (m a m m t				
Wanagement	Aesthetics			
V Isual Aesthetics				
Restrooms	Amenities (Nodes)	Sou	zial	
Resource Compatibility (Nodes)		Social		
Trail Corridor				
Connectivity	Amenities (Trails)			
Resource Compatibility (Trails)	a unicitidos (1 talls)			
303(d) list Macroinvertebrate Total P Temperature TDS DO <i>E. coli</i> Management Visual Aesthetics Location Accessibility (ADA Approved) Restrooms Resource Compatibility (Nodes) Trail Corridor Connectivity Resource Compatibility (Trails)	Regulatory Aquatic Monitoring Aesthetics Amenities (Nodes) Amenities (Trails)	Water Quality Soo	tial	SFI

Table 1. Stream Function Index Metrics Flow Chart

health. Although previous stream stability and fish habitat assessments were conducted on a few streams and the Jordan River in the mid 1980's, the 2009 SFI represents the first comprehensive assessment of all major waterways in Salt Lake County. Therefore, this dataset is considered a baseline.

The SFI is intended to give watershed and stream managers an overview of current stream conditions. However, as improvement projects are identified, more detailed studies may be required to fully assess the condition of the stream.



Right: Midas Creek in South Jordan.





2.0 SOUTH JORDAN CITY-

South Jordan City, located in the southcentral portion of Salt Lake County, is one of the County's older cities (incorporated in 1935) and is home to approximately 40,318 residents. Contained within South Jordan City's boundaries are portions of five sub-watersheds: Bingham Creek, Lower Dry Creek, Jordan River Corridor, Midas/Butterfield Creek, and Lower Willow Creek. Sections of Bingham Creek, Dry Creek, the Jordan River, Midas Creek, and Big Willow Creek are also found within the City. This report summarizes the health of the river and stream sections within South Jordan City and provides guidance for future water quality improvement and watershed preservation efforts. South Jordan City will also receive a copy of the 2009 WaQSP Addendum Stream Function Index Main Report, and will receive electronic files of the report and Geographic Information System (GIS) shapefiles depicting information collected as part of the SFI.

2.1 WATER QUALITY STRESSORS IN SOUTH JORDAN CITY

Although the SFI is a measure of stream corridor health, it is imperative that water quality and watershed health be approached comprehensively. Therefore, this section is provided to review water quality stressors identified in the 2009 WaQSP for the subwatersheds in South Jordan City.



Bingham Creek in South Jordan

As part of the 2009 WaQSP, a computer-based GIS analysis was conducted for each of the 27 sub-watersheds in Salt Lake County to determine existing and potential future water quality stressors. In Chapter 5 of the WaQSP document, these water quality stressors are outlined and Best Management Practices (BMPs) recommended to address potential concerns. Below are examples of water quality stressors and associated BMPs. To determine water quality stressors identified in sub-watersheds that intersect South Jordan City boundaries, please consult the 2009 WaQSP.

South Jordan City		14,156 Acres
Sub-Watersheds	Bingham Creek	5,069 Acres
	Dry Creek	387 Acres
	Jordan River Corridor	6,966 Acres
	Midas/Butterfield Creek	6,699 Acres
	Lower Willow Creek	103 Acres
Streams	Bingham Creek	20,423 Feet
	Dry Creek	4,428 Feet
	Jordan River	23,701 Feet
	Midas Creek	14,209 Feet
	Big Willow Creek	4,275 Feet

 Table 2.
 South Jordan City Watershed Areas and Stream Lengths





Water quality stressors may include:

- Stream channel modification
- Lack of developed recreation
- Stream flow diversions
- Loss of open space
- High number of Industrial Stormwater
 Discharge Permits
- Floodplain encroachment
- Densification of residential land use
- Urban development and redevelopment pressures

Examples of Management Practices (BMPs) to address potential water quality stressors include:

- Bioengineered bank stabilization
- Grade control structures
- Channel restoration/enhancement
- Streambank revegetation
- Diversion structures modification
- Canal water diversion
- Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design criteria
- Minimum flow protection
- Water rights acquisition
- Identify community recreation needs and opportunities
- Wetlands restoration/enhancement
- Manufactured treatment systems
- Participate in new and/or existing planning efforts
- Floodplain re-establishment
- Trash racks
- Land acquisition for preservation
- Volunteer programs
- Recreational facilities that are accessible and resource compatible.

3.0 STREAM FUNCTION INDEX (SFI)

Similar to the 2009 WaQSP effort to identify water quality/watershed stressors, four watershed functions were examined for each stream: water quality, habitat, hydraulics, and social/aesthetics services. In order to assess the ability of streams to provide these four functions, Salt Lake County developed what is called the Stream Function

Index (SFI). The SFI is a rapid assessment protocol that assesses stream habitat, hydraulics, water quality and social factors. Based on established methodology, the SFI measures 27 metrics to determine overall stream health. These metrics are categorized by watershed function (water quality, habitat, hydraulics, social/aesthetic) and can therefore be examined individually or by functional group.

The SFI is a tool to help identify the results of water quality stressors along main stream channels and the Jordan River. These areas are candidates for enhancement projects. The SFI provides the framework for a more detailed baseline and monitoring techniques that may be used on those projects. The first complete dataset was collected during 2007 and 2008 field seasons and is considered the baseline. The SFI will be repeated every 6 years in conjunction with the Water Quality Stewardship Plan Update.

4.0 WATERSHED FUNCTION GROUPS

This section summarizes scores for the four watershed functions countywide and reviews data and scores within the South Jordan City boundaries. Additional information on SFI methodology can be found in the SFI Main Report.

4.1 WATER QUALITY FUNCTIONAL GROUP SCORE

The SFI water quality functional group is comprised of seven metrics or measures: 303(d) list status, macroinvertebrates, Total Phosphorus, Solids (TDS), Temperature, Total Dissolved Dissolved Oxygen (DO), and Coliform (E. Coli). Based on 2009 SFI scores, the streams with the best water quality are concentrated in the upper regions of both the Wasatch and Oquirrh streams, with the notable exception of upper Little Cottonwood Creek (currently listed as water quality impaired by the State Division of Water Quality) for zinc. Additionally, lower Emigration Creek and Red Butte Creek received high rankings for water quality. Notably, these scores are based entirely on data contained in the Environmental Protection Agency's STORET database. Although this data represents a large portion of water quality data collected in Salt Lake County, it does not represent all data. However, it was decided that the SFI would rely on STORET data to assure consistent methodologies and that certified water quality







Figure 1. Water Quality Functional Group Scores Countywide

assurance (QA) and water quality control (QC) measures were taken.

In addition to noting areas of high, or good, water quality, it is important to note areas of low, or poor As can be seen from the water quality. Countywide data presented in Figure 1, segments with low water quality values include: upper and lower Jordan River, lower and upper Little Cottonwood Creek, lower Big Cottonwood Creek, and upper Emigration Creek. All of these segments scored as meeting water quality standards in <50% of samples taken. Many of these water quality concerns are currently being addressed through the State Division of Water Quality's (DWQ) Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) program.

Water quality concerns in South Jordan City are focused on the Jordan River. Pollutants of concern include Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), and temperature. In order to address these concerns, it is recommended that South Jordan City actively participate in the Jordan River TMDL process by attending Jordan River Watershed Council (JRWC) meetings and reviewing documents that are published in conjunction with the Jordan River TMDL.





Salt Lake County—Stream Function Index (SFI)

South Jordan City

Salt Lake County STREAM FUNCTION INDEX: FUNCTIONAL GROUP SCORE HABITAT



Figure 2. Habitat Functional Group Scores Countywide

4.2 HABITAT FUNCTIONAL GROUP SCORE

In the SFI, the habitat function was characterized by: pool/riffle ratio, fish passage, habitat structure, flow diversion, riparian width, and riparian density. Of note, stream channel habitat metrics were only assessed for streams that have been identified, by the State Division of Wildlife Resources (DWR) as supporting fish habitat. Flow diversion and riparian metrics were assessed for all streams.

Similar to the Water Quality Functional Group Score, streams with the best, or highest scores, are concentrated in the upper regions of both the Wasatch and Oquirrh mountains. However, in contrast to water quality, not all sections of upper

Oquirrh Mountain streams rank high for habitat. Of note, Copper Creek and Rose Creek both scored <60% for overall habitat function. Other areas of particular habitat concern include lower Big and Little Cottonwood Creeks and the section of Butterfield Creek upstream from its confluence with Midas Creek.

As can be seen from Figure 2, South Jordan City contains sections of the Jordan River, and Midas Creek that scored between 60 and 69.9 for this functional group. However, the remaining creeks in South Jordan City had lower scores (between 10 and 39.9). A review of habitat metric scores and recommendations to improve specific habitat functions are contained in the following sections.



Figure 3. Habitat Function—Pool/Riffle Ratio in South Jordan City

4.2.1 Pool/Riffle Ratio in South Jordan City An important component of stream habitat function is the ratio between pools and riffles. For the SFI, the number of pools and riffles were counted for each stream reach. Pools were defined as mid-channel areas with low velocity that were at least 1 foot deep. Riffles were defined as mid-channel shallow turbulent areas of higher velocity. The number of pools was compared to the expected number for the given stream type (see SFI Main Report for an explanation of stream type.) Subsequently, the pool/riffle ratio was determined. A score of "Not Applicable" (N/A) indicates that the stream does not support a fishery.

The majority of the Jordan River section within the South Jordan City boundary scored between 26 and 50, with a smaller section scoring between 1 and 25, for pool/riffle ratio. Of note, the other streams in South Jordan were not scored for pool/ riffle because they are not designated as fisheries by the State Division of Wildlife Resources.

Recommended actions to improve pool/riffle ratio include: participate in river restoration projects that incorporate habitat enhancement measures to improve pool/riffle ratio, and participate in discussions regarding opportunities to accommodate flood control. water riahts. recreation, and habitat needs. South Jordan Citv is strongly encouraged to engage in these measures to improve habitat in the Jordan River.







Salt Lake County—Stream Function Index (SFI)

South Jordan City



Figure 4. Habitat Function—Water Depth in South Jordan City

4.2.2 Water Depth in South Jordan City

In Salt Lake County, many streams have experienced altered or reduced stream flow or may naturally have minimal stream flow. In order to assess the extent to which streams have sufficient water depth to support aquatic habitat, Salt Lake County staff measured stream depth at representative locations within each stream reach during late summer low flow. Targets for this metric were set based on minimum depth requirements for trout and native sucker species established by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources (DWR).

As can be seen in Figure 4, the section of the Jordan River within South Jordan City boundaries ranked high for water depth (100). Although the

flow through this section of the Jordan River is highly altered, the water depth appears sufficient to support fish habitat. The creeks in South Jordan were not scored for this metric because they are not designated as fisheries by the State Division of Wildlife Resources.

No immediate action is required for this metric; however, it is recommended that South Jordan City monitor any water right activities that may alter water depth within this section of the Jordan River and seek to sustain existing water depths.





Figure 5. Habitat Function—Fish Passage in South Jordan City

4.2.3 Fish Passage in South Jordan City

For the purposes of the SFI, fish passage was scored based on the distance between barriers to fish passage. Barriers were tallied for each stream reach and analyzed for overall function during late summer low flow. Barrier criteria included height of barrier, depth of plunge pool, water depth, and beaver dam density. The optimum value for this metric was to have at least 1/4 of a mile between barriers.

As can be seen from Figure 5, the section of the Jordan River within South Jordan City received a high score for fish passage (100). The creeks in South Jordan were not scored for this metric because they are not designated as fisheries by the State Division of Wildlife Resources.

No immediate action is recommended for this metric. However, it is recommended that South Jordan City closely monitor any future stream alterations that may occur in this section of the Jordan River to assure that fish passage is sufficiently accommodated in any new structure.







Salt Lake County—Stream Function Index (SFI)

South Jordan City



Figure 6. Habitat Function—Habitat Structures in South Jordan City

4.2.4 Habitat Structure in South Jordan City

For the purposes of the SFI, habitat structures are defined as instream natural, or man-made, objects that provide cover, resting, and feeding resources for fish species. To measure the function of habitat structures, the number of embedded logs, rootwads, boulders, undercut banks, beaver dams, and man-made structures were tallied for each reach. Targets were set based on the number of habitat structures anticipated to occur in specific stream types.

As indicated in Figure 6, overall the section of Jordan River found in South Jordan scored quite low for habitat structure, with the majority between 1 and 25 and a smaller section in the northern

portion of the city scoring 0. The creeks in South Jordan were not scored for this metric because they are not designated as fisheries by the State Division of Wildlife Resources.

Recommended actions to improve habitat structure resources in South Jordan City include: participating in river restoration projects that incorporate habitat enhancement measures, participate in discussions regarding opportunities to accommodate flood control, water rights, recreation, and habitat needs. As with many metrics, habitat structures are essential to stream function, but need to be balanced with other stream functions.



Figure 7. Habitat Function—Flow Diversion in South Jordan City

4.2.5 Flow Diversion in South Jordan City

In the arid environment of Salt Lake County, many streams have been greatly impacted due to altered surface and groundwater flows. To include potential effects on habitat in the SFI, Salt Lake County developed a flow diversion metric. The flow diversion metric measured the degree to which natural surface stream flows have been reduced or interrupted. This metric includes both the amount of time over a year and the length of stream that is maintaining natural flows. The target for this metric was set at 100%, i.e. Salt Lake County would seek to have all streams maintain a natural flow for 100% of the year.

As can be seen in Figure 7, the sections of the Jordan River, Bingham Creek, Big Willow Creek,

and Dry Creek that are within South Jordan City's boundaries scored extremely poorly for flow diversion (0). Midas Creek, however, scored high for this metric (100).

To improve habitat and address flow diversions, it is recommended that South Jordan City participate in any discussions to examine flow management of the Jordan River. Of note, the 2009 WaQSP document calls for a comprehensive review of Jordan River flow management. Additionally, it is recommended that South Jordan City work with local water right holders and Salt Lake County Flood Control to identify opportunities to reduce flow diversions and improve instream flows.







Salt Lake County—Stream Function Index (SFI)

South Jordan City



Figure 8. Habitat Function—Riparian Width in South Jordan City

4.2.6 Riparian Width in South Jordan City

The SFI also examined habitat beyond the stream channel with Riparian habitat metrics. The first metric examined was the width of riparian corridors. For the purposes of the SFI, riparian width was measured as the continuous and contiguous areas of uninterrupted vegetation growth along streams. The target riparian width was established by Salt Lake County to be 100 feet, i.e. ideally, all streams/river in the County would be bordered on both sides by 100 feet of uninterrupted vegetative growth. The actual amount of riparian vegetation was then compared with the target.

As can be seen in Figure 8., the majority of the Jordan River within South Jordan City boundaries

scored between 51 and 75 for riparian width. However, a smaller section scored lower (between 26 and 50). Generally, the tributaries had lower scores (between 1 and 50) for riparian width, with one section of Bingham Creek scoring 0.

To improve riparian habitat function of the streams and river in South Jordan City, it is recommended that the City pass a land use ordinance to limit development within 100 feet of streams and river (this may also be included in development codes), and again work with other authorities to promote vegetative growth along the streams and river. Of note, such a land use ordinance was also recommendation in the 2009 WaQSP document.



Figure 9. Habitat Function—Riparian Density in South Jordan City

4.2.7 Riparian Density in South Jordan City

In addition to riparian width, the density of riparian vegetation is a strong indicator of overall stream health. This metric scores the percent coverage of the canopy, middle story, and understory to determine overall riparian density. As opposed to examining plant species, this metric assumes that the highest functioning riparian areas will have at least 80% coverage at all levels of the canopy.

As can be seen in Figure 9, the section of the Jordan River within South Jordan City boundaries scored high for this metric (between 76 and 100). However, the majority of the tributaries scored much lower (between 1 and 50). Therefore, it is recommended that South Jordan City focus



To improve stream function and augment habitat resources, it is recommended that South Jordan City participate with other State and local authorities to: sponsor river/stream restoration efforts that incorporate robust re-vegetation and irrigation efforts, notify residents of tree planting efforts and encourage their participation. In addition to working with other authorities and nonprofit organizations, it is recommended that South Jordan City actively manage recreation areas to encourage riparian vegetation growth.









Figure 10. Hydraulics Functional Group Scores Countywide

4.3 HYDRAULICS FUNCTIONAL GROUP SCORE

The third watershed function examined for the purposes of the SFI was hydraulics function. This functional group is comprised of four metrics: floodplain development, floodplain connectivity, bank stability, and hydraulic alteration.

As can be seen in Figure 10, the majority of streams in Salt Lake County scored > 50 for the hydraulic function; however, an appropriate target for this functional group is closer to 75. Countywide, the streams with low hydraulic function scores were concentrated in the lower sections of the Wasatch Mountain streams. Namely, City Creek, Red Butte Creek, Emigration

Creek, and Parley's Creek showed low hydrologic function. This may be due to the highly developed nature of these streams and the extensive culverts on each of them.

The streams within South Jordan City boundaries scored relatively high for this functional group (between 70 and 89.9). The following information is provided to review hydraulic function metrics within South Jordan City and identify opportunities to improve stream function by addressing concerns.





Figure 11. Hydraulics Function—Floodplain Development in South Jordan City

4.3.1 Floodplain Development in South Jordan City

The floodplain development metric evaluates the percent of impervious surface within the 100 year floodplain as defined by the FEMA Flood Insurance Program. For the purposes of the SFI, the target was that 100% of the floodplain be pervious, or free from development that would limit groundwater infiltration.

As can be seen in Figure 11, the waterways within South Jordan City scored high for floodplain development (between 76 and 100).

This lack of impervious areas along the streams and river in South Jordan City is a great opportunity. The challenge will be to keep development out of these established floodplains. This could be done either through development codes or land use ordinances. Of note, the 2009 WaQSP recommends that all cities within Salt Lake County develop and adopt ordinances that will both limit impervious surface and development along stream and river corridors.







Salt Lake County—Stream Function Index (SFI) South Jordan City

STREAM FUNCTION INDEX: METRIC SCORE South Jordan City HYDRAULICS/ FLOOD CONVEYANCE/ FLOODPLAIN CONNECTIVITY West Jordan City ANNON W Bingham Creel South Jordan City Midas Creek Draper City Herriman City Butterfield Cree Floodplain Connectivity Score **Riverton City** by Reach from Poor (0) to Excellent (100) Cree Roads Not Applicable Culvert No Data River and Streams Lakes & Ponds Debris Basin **Bluffdale City** County Boundary 14400 S Flood Control and Water Quality Division 2009

Figure 12. Hydraulics Function—Floodplain Connectivity in South Jordan City

4.3.2 Floodplain Connectivity in South Jordan City

The floodplain connectivity metric is essentially a measure of stream entrenchment (or eroded streambed). Entrenchment disconnects the stream from its historic floodplain, lowers the water table, and increases the intensity of flood events. For the purposes of the SFI, floodplain connectivity was measured and scored against targets established by stream type (see SFI Main Report.) Any score falling within the appropriate entrenchment range for a stream type was given a score of 100. If the entrenchment ratio was outside the appropriate range, the reach was given a score of 0.

As can be seen in Figure 12, the upper section of the Jordan River within South Jordan City boundaries scored low for floodplain connectivity (0). However, the lower section of the Jordan River within the City boundaries had entrenchment ratios within the target range (100). The tributaries within South Jordan City had variable entrenchment scores-each of which will need to be examined individually for opportunities to improve floodplain connectivity. It is therefore recommended that South Jordan City partner with other authorities to conduct stream/river restoration efforts that may reconnect the stream with its historic floodplain. Salt Lake County has successfully used an emergent bench design for similar sections of the Jordan River (see Figure 18 on page 22).





Figure 13. Hydraulics Function—Hydraulic Alteration in South Jordan City

4.3.3 Hydraulic Alteration in South Jordan City

Although bank stability is key to the hydraulics function of an urban stream, artificial bank configurations that reduce riparian and floodplain areas and the types of artificial materials used may cause stability and habitat problems. For the SFI, hydraulic alteration was evaluated as the percent of culverts and man-made bank stabilization structures and built with materials such as concrete riprap or gabion baskets within a reach. The percent was based on visual observation by field personnel and computeraided mapping of culverts.

As can be seen in Figure 13, the section of the Jordan River that runs adjacent to South Jordan

City scored high for hydraulic alteration (100). In addition, the tributaries in the City had high scores with the majority rating between 76 and 99. There were, however, a few sections of Midas Creek and Big Willow Creek that scored between 1 and 75 for hydraulic alteration. Therefore, it is recommended that when upgrading bank stabilization structures or when new bank stabilization projects are necessary, South Jordan City partners with Salt Lake County Flood Control Division and State agencies to employ ecosystem restoration techniques. Additionally, it is recommended that South Jordan City work to protect the integrity of sections that scored above 76. This may be done by closely monitoring requests for stream alterations in this area.





Salt Lake County—Stream Function Index (SFI) South Jordan City

STREAM FUNCTION INDEX: METRIC SCORE South Jordan City HYDRAULICS/ STREAM STABILITY/ BANK STABILITY West Jordan City ANNON W Bingham Creek Ri South Jordan City Midas Creek Draper City Herriman City Butterfield Creek Bank Stability Score **Riverton City** by Reach from Poor (0) to Excellent (100) se Creek Roads Not Applicable Culvert No Data River and Streams Hotspot Lakes & Ponds Debris Basin Poor **Bluffdale City** County Boundary Fair Good 14400 S Excellen Flood Control and Water Quality Division 2009

Figure 14. Hydraulics Function—Bank Stability in South Jordan City

4.3.4 Bank Stability in South Jordan City

In addition to measuring the condition and frequency of man-made stability structures in Salt Lake County's streams and river, an established bank stability method was also employed to characterize overall stream stability.

The Pfankuch Stream Stability Evaluation protocol—developed for the U.S. Forest Service was slightly modified for use on the urban streams of Salt Lake County. Although the Pfankuch rating is only one of the metrics contained in the SFI, it, in itself, examines 18 stream characteristics. This metric therefore contains abundant information that may be used in stream restoration and enhancement projects. "Hot spots", or actively eroding sites, were also identified and mapped. Although the presence of a hot spot did not

contribute directly to the score, they give an indication of where to perhaps prioritize bank stabilization projects.

As can be seen in Figure 14, the majority of the tributaries in South Jordan City scored "Good" for bank stability; the entire section of the Jordan River within the city boundaries scored "Fair" for this metric. Additionally, numerous "hot spots" were observed that are actively eroding.

In order to improve bank stability and enhance hydraulic function in South Jordan City, it is recommended that the City work with local land owners, Salt Lake County Flood Control, and other regulatory and nonprofit organizations to identify opportunities for stream enhancement through restoration efforts. This data may guide the City in its efforts.







Figure 15. Social Functional Group Scores Countywide

4.4 SOCIAL FUNCTIONAL GROUP SCORE

Social watershed function was measured by examining recreational facilities: management, aesthetics, location, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) compatibility, restroom facilities, trail connectivity, and resource compatibility.

Social function is probably the most difficult function to measure because there is a broad range of preferences by recreationists for different types of facilities. Therefore, the SFI focused on assessing the availability of all types of recreation facilities along the waterways, the minimum requirements for a positive user experience, and impact that the use of those facilities may have on the stream ecosystem. Although recreation may

have detrimental impacts on stream and river corridors, it is the opinion of Salt Lake County staff that the best way to promote stewardship of local resources is to provide appropriate facilities and access.

As can be seen in Figure 15, the section of the Jordan River flowing through South Jordan City scored between 60 and 69.9 for overall social function; whereas, the tributaries in South Jordan (with the exception of Corner Canyon Creek) scored much lower (between 30 and 59.9). In order to encourage appropriate access to the streams and river in South Jordan, the City is encouraged to work with local property owners to identify opportunities for enhanced recreational access to the streams.







Figure 16. Ecosystem Health Index (EHI) Final Score 2009

5.0 ECOSYSTEM HEALTH INDEX (EHI) - SOUTH JORDAN CITY

In order to determine the physical, chemical, and biological health of streams in Salt Lake County, the County has developed an Ecosystem Health Index (EHI) score. This score is meant to reflect the ecological health of the stream. Although the County's position is to promote responsible and appropriate recreational access along the stream corridors, it is also understood that recreational activities may counteract ecological function. Therefore, it is important to examine the combined EHI score outside of the overall Stream Function Index (SFI) score which includes the Social Function.

As can be seen in Figure 16, the Jordan River scored between 60 and 69.9 within South Jordan Citv boundaries. Midas Creek scored higher (between 80 and 89.9), Bingham Creek and Big Willow Creek scored between 50 and 59.9. In review of the EHI components, it appears that these scores are largely driven by habitat scores. However, because the tributaries within South Jordan are not designated as fisheries, these scores are strongly influenced by riparian habitat and flow metrics. To address these concerns, it is recommended that South Jordan City partner with adjacent cities and other agencies to identify opportunities for habitat enhancement and establish zoning ordinances and/or regulations that will limit development along their streams and river.







Figure 17. Stream Function Index (SFI) Final Score 2009

6.0 STREAM FUNCTION INDEX (SFI) -SOUTH JORDAN CITY

To include social/recreational functions in the overall SFI score, Salt Lake County combined the EHI with social scores.

As can be seen in Figure 17, the Jordan River, Midas Creek, and Dry Creek all had SFI scores between 60 and 69.9 within South Jordan City boundaries. Bingham and Big Willow Creeks scored lower (between 50 and 59.9). In review of the SFI components, it appears that these scores are driven by water quality, habitat and social function scores.

To address these concerns, it is recommended that South Jordan City partner with adjacent cities and other agencies to complete and implement the Jordan River TMDL in an efficient and timely manner. It is also recommended that South Jordan City seek partners and explore stream/river restoration efforts. Additionally, it is recommended that South Jordan City establish zoning ordinances and/or regulations that will limit development along their streams and river. It is also recommended that South Jordan City make efforts to include recreational facilities in development along the streams and river within the City's boundaries.





7.0 IMPLEMENTATION

Because many of the recommendations included in this document suggest stream/river restoration efforts, this section is written to provide some general guidelines/suggestions with such projects.

7.1 SITE IDENTIFICATION

Salt Lake County encourages local cities to consult the data collected as part of the SFI effort to identify appropriate restoration sites. In addition to the GIS data that each city will be provided, Salt Lake County staff are available for consultation and assistance with grant application efforts.

7.2 PLAN DEVELOPMENT

Salt Lake County has used an "Emergent Bench" design for restoration projects along the Jordan River (Figure 18). This design is appropriate for

reaches with large easements/access. If easements are not available, other designs may need to be developed. Currently, Salt Lake County is working to develop ideas for entrenched, urban reaches.

7.3 FUNDING

As with most municipal functions, a major hurdle to stream/river restoration projects is funding. Some municipalities have elected to use stormwater utility fees or bond efforts to fund such projects. However, the majority of projects that have been completed in Salt Lake County have relied heavily on Federal grants. Fortunately, numerous Federal grants are available to support stream restoration efforts. However, the cost of site identification and plan development usually fall to the sponsoring agency.

Although application deadlines and typical amounts awarded vary greatly, there are some common characteristics of successful grant applications:



Figure 18. Diagram of Emergent Bench design used along the Jordan River





- A clear, precise workplan
- Demonstrated involvement of many partners
- Inclusion of a monitoring effort
- Strong financial match

A list of some grants that may be appropriate are provided in Table 3, Grants for Stream and river Restoration Projects.

7.4 PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

With robust planning, established partnerships, and sufficient funding, stream and river restoration efforts may be highly successful. However, some pitfalls do exist. Things to be aware of during a stream restoration effort:

- Flow diversions may occur unexpectedly. Please assure that all permits (namely stream alteration and flood control permits) have been acquired and appropriate entities notified to avoid the unexpected destruction of restoration work.
- Order your plant and rock material early as many of these materials are in high demand.
- Notify the public. Although stream and river restoration efforts are a great benefit to the

local stream health, the process of restoration may at times appear destructive. Post notices explaining the project in order to prevent public misunderstanding.

- Allow enough time. As with most projects, stream and river restoration projects may take longer than expected. Be sure to plan for unexpected delays in your scheduling.
- We're not the only ones that love trees. In many of the restoration efforts that Salt Lake County has overseen, beaver activity has been highly destructive. Be sure to consult local experts to prevent the destruction of your newly planted trees.

7.5 POST-CONSTRUCTION

One of the most important components of a successful stream or river restoration project is the long-term maintenance of the restoration site. Especially in the arid Salt Lake Valley, be sure to plan for irrigation of planted vegetation, and weed control to assure that the monies spent on the restoration project are used to their fullest extent; budgeting for a two-year establishment period is ideal.



Example of before (above) and after (right) river restoration project completed in 2009 using Emergent Bench model along the Jordan River. This site in Riverton will be irrigated for 2 years to establish vegetation.



SALT LAKE

South Jordan City - 23 2009

MORE INFORMATION	http://www.epa.gov/twg	http://www.epa.gov/ enviroed/grants.html	www.usace.army.mil/ cw/	www.nrcs.usda.gov/ programs/csp
DEADLINE	T ypically October through November	Typically in December	None - these allocations are through Section 206 of the WRDA	 The CSP sign-up will be offered in selected priority watersheds across the Nation. Producers completed a self-assessment to determine eligibility. Eligible producers within these watersheds submit an application. Base on the application, description of conservation activities, and a follow up interview, the Natural Resources Conservation Service
\$ RANGE	2005 Grants ranged from \$600,000 to \$850,00	Applications may be up to \$50,000; however, typical awards are between \$15,000 and \$20,000	Typical awards are ~\$300,000	Not available: however, in FY 2007 this program was awarded \$259 Million
MATCH	25% Non-federal match	25% Non-federal match	35% Non-federal match	None required
TYPES OF PROJECTS	Eligible Activities Activities that will result in the protection, and restoration of a watershed-based approach, and meets the prescribed criteria. Ineligible - Development of TMDLs - Development of TMDLs - Construction of buildings or major structures - Purchase of equipment of machinery NOTE: Watershed nominations must be submitted by either a Governor or a Tribal Leader.	Environmental education projects that enhance the public's awareness, knowledge, and skills to help people make informed decisions that affect environmental quality.		Financial and technical assistance to promote the conservation and improvement of soil, water, air, energy, plant and animal life, and other conservation purposes on Tribal and private working lands.
ELIGIBLE	States, local governments, public and private nonprofit institutions/ organizations, federally recognized Indian tribal governments, U.S. territories or possessions, and interstate agencies.	Local education agency, state education or environmental agency, college, or university, not-for-profit organization as described in section 501(C)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, noncommercial educational broadcasting entity, tribal education agency (which includes school and community colleges controlled by an Indian tribe, band, or nation)	Nonprofit Groups, Conservation District, Water and Wastewater Utilities, Local Government, State/ Territorial Agency	The agricultural operation must be privately owned land or Tribal land, the majority of which must be located within a selected priority watershed. The applicant must be in wetland compliance provisions, have an active interest in the agricultural operation, and have control of the land for the life of the control of the land for the life of the control of the land for the life of the control of the land for the life of the in the risk of producing any crop or livestock and be entitled to a share in the crop or livestock marketed from the
SPONSOR	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)		Note: Upper Weber has received this
GRANT	Targeted Watershed Grant	Environmental Education Grants	Aquatic Ecosystem Restoration (Section 206 of WRDA)	Conservation Security Program

Table 3. Grants for Stream and River Restoration Projects

MORE DRMATION		ss.usda.gov/ is/equip	w.epa.gov/ etlands/ 5star/ ml	
INFO		http:// www.nrc program	http://wv owow/w restore/i index.ht	
DEADLINE	(NRCS) will determine which program tier and enrollment category are available for the applicant.		Typically in February or March	
\$ RANGE		Limited to \$10,000 per person per year and to \$50,000 over the langth of the contract. In FY Not available in FY 2007 this program was awarded \$739 Million	Typically range between \$5,000 and \$20,000	
МАТСН		Typically 25 to 50%	Typical projects include at least five diverse partners. Most partnerships contribute more than \$40,000 for every \$10,000 Five Star grant.	
TYPES OF PROJECTS		These contracts provide incentive payments and cost-shares to implement conservation practices. Persons who are engaged in livestock or agricultural production on eligible land may participate in the EQIP program.		Projects (continued)
ELIGIBLE	operation. There are certain tier eligibility and contract requirements, as well: -For Tier I, the producer must have addressed soil quality and water quality for eligible land uses on part of the agricultural operation prior to -For Tier II, the producer must have addressed soil quality and water quality for eligible land uses on the entire agricultural operation prior to addressed all resource concern by the end of the contract period. -For Tier III, the producer must have additional resource concern by the end of the contract period. -For Tier III, the producer must have additional lessource concerns to a resource management system level for all eligible land uses on the entire agricultural operation and adequately treat riparian zones before application into the program.	Business, Community/Watershed Group, Nonprofit Groups, Educational Institution, Private Landowner, Water and Wastewater Utilities, State/ Territorial Agency, Tribal Agency, Agricultural producers who face serious threats to soil, water, and related natural resources, or who need assistance with complying with Federal and State environmental laws. A participant may be an owner, landlord, operator, or tenant of eligible agricultural lands. Limited resource agricultural ands. Limited resource producers of minority groups, Federally recognized Indian tribal governments, laska natives, and Pacific Islanders, are encouraged to apply.	Business, Community/Watershed Group, Nonprofit Groups, Educational Institution, Private Landowner, Conservation District, Water and Wastewater Utilities, Local Government, State/Territorial Agency, Tribal Agency	ream and River Restoration
SPONSOR				rants for Str
GRANT	Conservation Security Program - Continued	Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)	Five-Star Restoration Program	Table 3. G

	GRANT	SPONSOR	ELIGIBLE	TYPES OF PROJECTS	MATCH	\$ RANGE	DEADLINE	MORE INFORMATION
	Land and Water Conservation Fund (Outdoor Recreation, Acquisition, Development and Planning Grants)	National Park Service (NPS)	Local Government, State/ Territorial Agency, Tribal Agency			Typically range between \$1,000 and \$3 million - median is \$150,000		http://wwwnps.gov/nrc/ programs/wcf/
	Natural Resources Conservation Service: Conservation on Private Lands	National Fish and Wildlife Foundation (NFWS)	Community//Watershed Group, Nonprofit Groups, Educational Institution, Conservation District, Local Government, State/ Territorial Agency, Tribal Agency, Federal Agency	Grants are awarded to projects that: (1) address priority actions promoting fish and wildlife conservation and the habitats on which they depend; (2) work proactively to involve other conservation and community interests; (3) leverage available funding; and (4) evaluate project outcomes.	NFWF funds must be matched on at least a 1:1 basis, although 2:1 is encouraged, and higher ratios are more competitive.	Typically range between \$10,000 and 150,000 - median is \$60,000	Varies each year.	http://www.nfwf.org/ AM/Template.cfm? Section=Home
26	Nonpoint Source Implementation Grants (319 Programs)	Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through the Utah Division of Water Quality	Business, Community/ Watershed Group, Nonprofit Groups, Educational Institution, Conservation District, Local Government, State/Territorial Agency, Tribal Agency, Federal Agency	Restoration, Information & Education, Planning, TMDL implementation	States required to provide 40% non- Federal match for whole grant. Recipients within Recipients within required to provide 40% match for each project, but this may be negotiable with a given state.	Varies		Mike Reichert; Utah Division of Water Quality (DWQ)
	North American Wetlands Conservation Act Grants Program	United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)	Business, Nonprofit Groups, Private Landowner, Local Government, State/Territorial Agency, Federal Agency	Long-term protection, restoration, and/or enhancement of wetlands and associated uplands habitats.	Cost-share partners must match grant funds 1:1 with U.S. non-federal dollars	Funding amounts vary; however in 2007 this program was awarded 39.4 Million	Typically in early spring (March)	http://www.fws.gove/ birdhabitat/Grants/ NAWC/index.shtm
	Not-for-Profit Acid Mine Drainage Reclamation	U.S. Department of the Interior Office of Surface Mining. Division of Reclamation Support	Community/Watershed Group, Nonprofit Groups, Conservation District	Support the efforts of local not-for- profit organizations, especially watershed groups, to complete construction projects designed to clean streams impacted by Acid Mine Drainage	Partners are encouraged to make monetary contributions or provide in-kind services; however, a specified.	Typically range between \$25,000 and \$150,000 - median is \$50,000	Applications will be accepted until all available funds have been awarded	http://www.osmre.gov/ osmami.htm
	Table 3. G	rants for Str	eam and River Restora	tion Projects (continued	6			

MORE INFORMATION	http://ecos.fws.gov/ partners/ viewContent.do? viewPage=home	http://www.freelink.org/ nucfac	http://www.doi.gov/ water2025	http://water.usgs.gov/ wrri/institutes.html
DEADLINE	Funds available year -round	The annual Request for Pre-Proposals is released the first week in September. Pre-proposals are due the second Tuesday of November	Visit the Department of the Interior Water 2025 website, waw.doi.gov/ water2025/, for current informing RFP dates and deadlines	February 16, 2007 (for investigations); March 2, 2007 (for institutes)
\$ RANGE	Typically range between \$300 and \$25,000 - median is \$25,000	Typically range between \$3,000 and \$250,000 - median is \$125,000	Typically range between \$19,000 and \$300,000 median is \$140,000	Typically range between \$5,000 and \$250,000 - median is \$120,000
МАТСН	Typically an applicant contributes 50% of the total project cost through matching funds or in-kind services but this amount is negotiable.	All grant funds must be matched at least equally (dollar for dollar) with non- federal source funds.	A match is required, but the % is not specified.	A match is required, but the % is not specified.
TYPES OF PROJECTS	The partners for Fish and Wildlife Program provides technical and financial assistance to private landowners to restore fish and wildlife habitats on their lands.	The program works to achieve a number of goals, including (1) effectively communicating information about the social, economic, and ecological values of urban and community forests; (2) involving diverse resource professionals in urban and community forestry issues; and community forestry issues; and community forestry is a position and community forestry is a protect to an quality, the program supports an ecosystem approach to managing urban forests for their benefits to air quality, sfortheir brack to all quality, and other related ecosystem habitat, and other related ecosystem concerns.	The goal of Water 2025 is to prevent crises and conflict over water in the western United States. The Challenge Grant Program is administered by the Bureau of Reclamation and is designed to contribute to this goal by providing 50% funding for projects that will conserve water, increase water use efficiency, or enhance water management, using advanced technology, improvements to existing facilities, and water banks and markets.	Proposals are sought in not only the physical dimensions of supply and demand, but also quality trends in raw water supplies, the role of economics and demand, institutional arrangements for tracking and reporting water supply and availability, and institutional arrangements for coping with extreme hydrologic conditions.
ELIGIBLE	Business, Community/ Watershed Group, Nonprofit Groups, Educational Institution, Private Landowner, Conservation District, Local Government, Tribal Agency	Business, Community/ Watershed Group, Nonprofit Groups, Educational Institution, Conservation District, Water and Wastewater Utilities, Local Government, State/Territorial Agency, Tribal Agency	Nonprofit Groups, Educational Institution, Conservation District, Water and Wastewater Utilities, Local Government, State/ Territorial Agency, Tribal Agency	Educational Institution
SPONSOR	U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Branch of Habitat Restoration, Division of Fish and Wildlife Management and Habitat Restoration	USDA Forest Service	Bureau of Reclamation, Office of Program & Policy Services	U.S. Geological Survey
GRANT	Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program	Urban and Community Forestry Challenge Cost -Share Grants	Water 2025 Challenge Grant Program	Water Resources Research National Competitive Grants Program

Table 3. Grants for Stream and River Restoration Projects (continued)

MORE INFORMATION	http:// www.nrcs.usda.gov/ programs/watershed/	http://www.epa.gov/ owow/wetlands/ grantguidelines/	http:// www.nrcs.usda.gov/	http:// www.nrcs.usda.gov/ programs/whip/
DEADLINE	Eligible project sponsors may submit formal requests for assistance to the NRCS state NRCS state conservationists in each state at any time.	Deadlines are determined annually and vary from region to region.	Applications are accepted year- round.	Continuous sign-up process
\$ RANGE	Typically range between \$5,000 and \$2.16 Million - median is \$650,000	Typically range between \$11,000 and \$500,000 - median is \$250,000		Not available; however, in FY 2007 this program was awarded \$259 Million
МАТСН	Approximately 75%	atch Non-federal match	For restoration cost- share agreements and 30 year easement participants, up to 25% of the cost of restoring the acreage must be provided.	25% Non-federal match
TYPES OF PROJECTS	Projects related to watershed protection, flood mitigation, water supply, water quality, erosion and sediment control, wetland creation and restoration, fish and wildlife habitat enhancement, agricultural water conservation, and public recreation are eligible for assistance. Technical and financial assistance is also available for planning new watershed surveys.	The EPA's Wetland Program Development Grants are intended to encourage comprehensive wetlands program development by promoting the coordination and acceleration of research, investigations, experiments, training, demonstrations, surveys, and studies relating to the causes, effects, extent, prevention, reduction, and elimination of water pollution. Projects build the capacity of states, tribes and local governments to effectively protect wetland and riparian resources. Projects funded under this program support the initial development of a wetlands protection, restoration or management program or support enhancement/refinement of an existing program.	Through this voluntary program, the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) provides landowners with financial incentives to restore and protect wetlands in exchange for retiring marginal agricultural land.	The Wildlife Habitat Incentive Program (WHIP) is a voluntary program for people who want to develop and improve wildlife habitat on private lands, it provides both technical assistance and cost sharing to help establish and improve fish and wildlife habitat. Participants work with USDA's Natural Resources Conservation Service to prepare a wildlife habitat development plan in consultation with a local conservation district. The plan describes the landowner's goals for improving wildlife habitat, includes a list of protices and aschedule for installing them, and details the steps necessary to maintain the habitat for the life of the agreement.
ELIGIBLE	Conservation District, Local Government, State/Territorial Agency, Tribal Agency	Nonprofit Groups, Local Government, State/Territorial Agency, Tribal Agency	Business, Community/ Watershed Group, Nonprofit Groups, Educational Institution, private Landowner, conservation District, Water and Wastewater Utilities, Local Government, State/Territorial Agency, Tribal Agency	Nonprofit Groups, Private Landowners
SPONSOR	USDA	EPA	USDA - NRCS	USDA - NRCS
GRANT	Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Program	Wetlands Program Development Grants	Wetlands Reserve Program	wildlife Habitat Incentives Program